

UDC 636.085.32
DOI: <https://doi.org/>

T. Sakhno, Dr. Chemistry, Professor, E-mail: sakhno2001@gmail.com
<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7049-4657>

ResearcherID: AAL-4074-2020
Scopus Author ID: 7003968703

Poltava State Agrarian Academy, 1/3 Skovorody Str., Poltava, 36003, Ukraine

A. Semenov, Ph.D., Associate Professor, E-mail: asemen2015@gmail.com
<http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3184-6925>

Poltava University of Economics and Trade, 3, Koval Str., Poltava, 36014, Ukraine

N. Barashkov, Dr. Chemistry, Professor, E-mail: nikolay@microtracers.com
<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2494-9248>

ResearcherID: AAL-3173-2020
Scopus Author ID: 7003571202

Micro-Tracers, Inc, 1370 Van Dyke Ave, San Francisco, CA, 94124, USA, +380532220680

ASSESSING THE QUALITY OF HOMOGENEITY OF PET FOOD USING FERROMAGNETIC MICROTRACERS

Abstract

Obtaining highly homogeneous mixtures is an urgent task in many areas of feed production, as it is associated with the need for even distribution of particularly important and valuable components. Manufacturers of animal feed are using several different methods for performing homogeneity studies to check their mixer performance.

This paper is devoted to the use of nontraditional markers such as ferromagnetic Microtracers to evaluate the homogeneity of the final feed. Microtracers have successfully been used in a mixer testing program in the USA since 1985 and in Europe since 2006. They represent a major improvement over traditional analytical procedures for evaluation of mixer performance involving the use of cobalt or manganese salts. The authors described the quantitative results of determination and identification of Microtracers in two sets of 20 samples of pet food manufactured by Kormotech LLC with using a proprietary Rotary Detector procedure developed by Micro-Tracers Inc (San Francisco, California). It was shown that analysis of 150 g samples at level of Microtracer addition of 20 g per metric ton of pet food allowed a magnetic retrieval of the number of ferromagnetic particles from 61 to 101 which was sufficient for application of Poisson and Chi-squared statistics. The obtained results from two tests performed with different time of mixing were interpreted in accordance with the requirements of the GMP + BA2 standard. It was determined that mixing within 3 min leads to the marginal mixing with the calculated probability value of 1.12%. The prolonged mixing within 4 min leads to complete mixing with the calculated probability value of 5.93%. The use of ferromagnetic Microtracers in a mixer testing program is justified for such tasks as comparison of mixers with each other, as well as identifying the changes in the technical characteristics of the mixers and their performance occurring during their exploitation. In addition, using microtracers can quickly determine the quality of the mixing equipment when it is purchased.

Keywords: mixing, compound feed, food quality, microtracers, markers, pet food, the Rotary Detector.

Introduction. Formulation of the problem

Despite the rapid growth of the global pet food market, the domestic market demonstrates the untapped potential [1, 2]. Conducting basic scientific research in the direction of developing new formulations and technologies for the manufacture of finished feeds [3] include the following: studying their usefulness, quality and safety, improving existing and developing new methods for monitoring quality [4]. The results of this basic research are able to contribute to improving competitiveness and increasing the share of domestic producers in the industrial feed market for unproductive animals

An effective method for the production of pet food based on meat and vegetables using poultry offal for meat and bone meal is described in study [5]. The formulation of the combined meat and vegetable pet food developed and optimized by the authors meets the physiological needs of the animal's body and meets the established regulatory requirements.

European law tightens the use of slaughter by-products, and since 2002, the EU has banned the use of secondary poultry products for animal feed and pet food [6]. The lack of adequate dietary protein is a problem in

feeding domestic animals.

The market of pet food today is developing dynamically and its annual growth averages at level of 12-25% [7]. Such countries as Germany, France, Great Britain, the USA and China are among the most significant producers and importers of pet food. Due to the current political and economic situation in the world, many importers have reduced supply volumes. On the other hand, an increase in the population of domestic animals causes a natural increase in pet food intake. Thus, a favorable situation is currently developing for the development and promotion of new pet food products which consist of numerous important and valuable ingredients.

Obtaining highly homogeneous mixtures of these ingredients is an urgent task in many steps related to the pet food production. Therefore, the manufacturers of these products must have a solid evidence of the high quality of their materials based on accurate dosing and uniform mixing of all components [8].

To our time, there is no single methodology for determining the quality of mixing adopted in Ukraine. The methodology for determining the quality of mixing refers to the international standard [9], the practical ap-



plication of which is very time-consuming and costly.

It is believed that Ukraine is in 8th place in the TOP 10 fast-growing pet food markets. However, foreign producers dominate the Ukrainian feed market, in particular from countries such as Hungary, Russia, the USA, France and others.

In 2003, the company Kormotech LLC has been founded in Ukraine. Today this company has become the leading domestic producer of pet food, entering the TOP-50 of the largest European manufacturers, arranging the export of its products to 18 countries [10]. Kormotech LLC produces its products under the following brands: Optimeal™, Club 4 Paws™, Meow™, Gav™ and Private Cable. Each type of pet food developed by Kormotech's specialists is based on their innovative approach called "IMMUNITY SUPPORT MIX". Implementation of this approach allowed to create a pet food enriched with a number of components necessary to maintain animal immunity. At the same time, the developed rations fully comply with the basic safety criteria of FEDIAF (European Federation of Pet Food Producers) and ISO 22000. Currently Kormotech LLC is interested in the preparation of their facility to the certification audits in accordance with GMP+ FC requirements [9]. One of the important steps in this process includes performing several tests of its mixers according to GMP + BA2 using ferromagnetic Microtracers to assess the quality of mixing pet food products.

In this paper, the authors described the use of safe markers such as ferromagnetic Microtracers for assessing the quality of the feed mixture homogeneity. For preliminary and qualitative results on the determination and identification of Microtracers in the analyzed sample the Mason Jars [11, 12] should be used. To quantify the quality of mixing and assess the level of contamination of feed mixtures, the use of a Rotary Detector is recommended [13, 14].

Microtracers™ have been reported as a tool for evaluating mixer performance and uniformity in feed materials [15-17].

Microtracers F-series consist of iron grit particles colored with food-grade water soluble or water insoluble (lake) food dyes and are designed to be used in premixes and complete feeds. They can be retrieved from feed materials via magnetic separation. The particle count is approximately 25 000/g and the particle size range is 150–300 micron.

When analyzing particle count data, it is standard to assume an underlying Poisson distribution [9,18,19], with the shape and location of the distribution described by a single parameter, λ . In this study, the focal data are counts of Microtracers particles in individually pet food samples that may or may not have been produced uniformly. If the pet food samples are sufficiently uniform, then repeated items in the production run will reflect a Poisson distribution around a mean value (λ) that is the count of tracer particles that were originally added in pet food samples, scaled to mass.

A goodness of fit test such as the Pearson's Chi-square test is an appropriate tool to evaluate Microtracer counts by testing if observed count distribution is significantly different from what may be expected from a truly random Poisson distribution [13, 19]. The *P* value result

of the Chi-square test estimates the probability that the set of observations were drawn from a uniform population and that the variation observed between pet food samples is solely due to random Poisson variation.

Materials composed of particles with discernible differences in physical properties (e.g., particle size, density, rigidity, or surface properties) have a tendency for segregation of particles [15, 19]. Uniformity in particle size and limited particle size range are especially critical in limiting segregation. Thus, Microtracers particle size distribution should be comparable to the materials to which it is incorporated to achieve uniform distribution.

This study was undertaken to investigate the potential use of Microtracers F as a quality control tool to estimate the variability in the process used to manufacture pet food. Variability of test items was evaluated based on distribution of incorporated tracers. If tracers prove to be a useful tool for assessing the uniformity of pet food samples, they may be suitable as a routine quality control tool for laboratory proficiency testing schemes in accordance with ISO 17025 and in the accreditation of organizations providing proficiency testing schemes in accordance with ISO/IEC 17043.

Materials and methods

The following Base feed materials manufactured by Kormotech have been tested using Microtracers F-Series:

Woof!™ - dog food; Meow!™ - cat food; Club 4 Paws™ (former 4 Paws Club™) - top quality dog and cat feeds, Optimeal™ - top quality dog and cat feeds with prebiotics, berries and herbs aimed at enhancing the animal's immunity .

This particular paper includes only data related to the pet food of Optimeal™ brand.

Two Microtracer F-Series, namely F-Red #40 and F-Blue #1 manufactured Micro-Tracers, Inc. (San Francisco, CA, USA) have been used in this study [20].

Apparatus

(a) Mixing Equipment: Hosokawa Micron Powder Systems Mikro Bantam Hammer and Screen Mill.

(b) Equipment for Microtracers recovery and counting.

- Rotary Detector™ magnetic separator;

- Scales technical WLC 0.2 / C / I (Radwag, Poland)

-Spray bottle containing 50% ethanol solution.

Tracer Recovery and Counting

Upon receipt at Kormotech., the test items were individually weighed, and tracer particles were recovered and counted. Ferromagnetic particles of Microtracers F-series were isolated from the six feed materials using a Rotary Detector magnetic separator. Recovered tracers were transferred from the small filter paper placed on the rotating magnet of the Rotary Detector to the surface of large filter paper (18 cm in diameter) wetted with 50% ethanol solution to dissolve the dyes from the tracer and yield colored spots. Individual tracer particles formed colored spots on the filter papers, which were dried and the spots counted manually or by scanning to a computer and automatically counting according to a program developed by Micro-Tracers, Inc. and posted on their website [21].



Results and discussion

Summary information, including Tracer Recovery, Mean, Standard deviation, Coefficient of variation (%), Coefficient of variation-Poisson (%), Chi-Square, Probability (%) is presented in Table 1 for two different batches of Optimeal™ pet food. Both batches were prepared on the same mixing equipment with using two different Microtracers F-Series, both with an average particle count about 25 per 1 mg. The main differences between these batches was the time of mixing : 3 min for batch with results presented in Table 1 and 4 min for batch with results presented in Table 2.

Table 1 - Experimental results on evaluating the quality of mixing with using Microtracer F-Blue #1 , Sample Assayed -150 g, at loading of 20 g of Tracer/Metric Ton of Optimeal™ pet food. Time of mixing 3 min.

Number of Samples Analyzed, 20				Tracer Recovery	96,27
99	61	64	79	Mean	72,20
91	61	82	64	Standard deviation	11,44
56	84	63	68	Coefficient of variation (%)	15,84
70	77	71	87	Coefficient of variation-Poisson (%)	11,77
66	66	65	70	Chi-Square	34,42
Probability (%)					1,12
Conclusion: A Chance Probability between 1-5 % evidences a marginal mix for the blue tracer					

Table 2 - Experimental results on evaluating the quality of mixing with using Microtracer F-Red #40 , Sample Assayed -150 g, at loading of 20 g of Tracer/Metric Ton of Optimeal™ pet food. Time of mixing 4 min.

Number of Samples Analyzed, 20				Tracer Recovery	105,80
78	71	83	101	Mean	79,35
82	60	68	63	Standard deviation	10,85
76	80	94	69	Coefficient of variation (%)	13,67
75	100	83	82	Coefficient of variation-Poisson (%)	11,23
88	77	82	75	Chi-Square	28,19
Probability (%)					5,93
Conclusion: The chance Probability of more than 5 % evidences a complete mix for the red tracer					

From the content of Tables 1 and 2 that summarize the results of calculations and conclusions obtained by the program of Micro-Tracers Inc [20], it can be seen that the found number of MT particles in 20 analyzed samples turns out to be rather close in value to the average number of particles: 72 (Table 1) or 79 (Table 2). It is obvious as well that the values of the coefficient of variation provide some evidence that the uniformity of mix for batch after 4 min of mixing (CV ~13.7

%) is higher than for batch after 3 min of mixing (CV ~ 15.8 %).

This conclusion is in a good correlation with results of evaluating the quality of mixing according to the method developed by Micro-Tracers Inc [21]. It is known that in counting particles as evidence of mixing results are defined by the applicable Poisson statistics, currently accepted by majority of statisticians [9, 18, 22]. The critical property of the Poisson statistics is that a count will be defined with a standard deviation equal to its square root. If one counts 400 particles or in the case of Microtracers colored spots, and one had no analytical data and analyzed an infinite number of samples and mixing was "perfect", it is expected to obtain a standard deviation of 20 and a CV then of 20/400 or 5 %. Obviously, the larger amount of counts the lower value of CV is expected (Table 3).

Table 3 - Correlation between the counts and values of CV expected from applying Poisson statistics

Counts	The values of CV expected from applying Poisson statistics %
100	10,00
400	5,00
800	3,54

As it is clear from Table 3 that at count of 100, for example, the expected value of CV is around 10%. Considering as example the mean value of 79,35 (Table 3), it is easy to calculate the expected value of CV from applying Poisson statistics :

$$(79,35)^{1/2} = 8,91 \text{ and } CV = (8,91/79,35) \times 100 = 11.23\%.$$

However, the experimental data presented in Table 2 show that CV =13,67 %. Therefore, the question becomes is the excess CV is random noise or statistically significant. For this, the Chi-squared calculation should be applied which yield a Chance Probability (P). If we find a Chance Probability greater than 5 %, we judge the data could reasonably have come from a Complete Mix and we accept it as evidencing such. If we get a Chance Probability of between 1 % and 5 % is is "Probably statistically significant" and the mix is judged "Probably Incomplete", if the Chance Probability is less than 1% this is considered a statistically significant deviation and the mix is judged Incomplete.

This approach is in complete agreement with published document [9] GMP+ Good Manufacturing Practices - Certifications schemes describing using ferromagnetic Microtracers to evaluate animal feed uniformity. Results of $P \leq 0.01$ reflect insufficient uniformity, results of $P \geq 0.05$ reflect good uniformity, and results of $0.01 \leq P \leq 0.05$ suggest marginal uniformity, which may be suspect, and further investigation is warranted [9].

Thus, the results shown in Table 1 should be attributed to the case of marginal mix ($P=1,12\%$, i.e. $0.01 \leq P \leq 0.05$) and the results shown in Table 5 should be attributed to the case of complete mix ($P=5,93\%$, i.e. $P \geq 0.05$).

Numerous studies conducted in the USA, Serbia, Poland, Ireland, Italy, Russia and other countries show



the high efficiency and speed of using ferromagnetic Microtracers to assess the uniformity of feed [12-16].

Their use is justified for solving specific problems, which include:

1. Comparison of the mixers based on the study of the efficiency of distribution of the Microtracer in them over the mixing composition;

2. Identification of changes in the technical characteristics of the mixer during operation over time,

3. Identification of changes in the composition or physical properties of the mixture on the distribution of the Microtracer in it.

It is important to point out that Microtracer should be added to the feed not by itself, but as a part of the mix with other conventional components of the feed. The amount of such a mixture in the studied feed should be similar to the amount of the component, which, in accordance with the formulation, is introduced into the feed in a minimum dose. The introduction of the Microtracer takes place in the same place as the introduction of other microcomponents of the feed. Then the results of the study will confirm the existing dosing and mixing procedures in the production of finished products.

Conclusion

Ferromagnetic Microtracers, such as Microtracers F-series can be used as an effective tool for determination of the quality of mixing processes and can be useful at the time of purchasing mixing equipment and for evaluation of every production run.

The detailed knowledge of the time and speed of mixing is especially important because the manufacturers of pet food waste energy, labor and capital when they run mixing too long. Besides, an excessive mixing may also lead to degradation such valuable components of pet food as vitamins, enzymes and medications. The required information can be obtained using Microtracers that are widely used in 66 countries of the world, not only for evaluation of mixing performance, but also for cross-contamination determination and for coding microingredients in pet food and animal feed.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS We are grateful to Sergey Dorda, a technologist at Kormotech, for helping us to conduct experiments to evaluate the uniformity of pet food manufacturing.

REFERENCES

1. Sirenko S.O. Market research and demand generation in the pet food market / S.O. Sirenko // *Economics and Management of Enterprises*. - 2019. - Issue 32. - P.213-217.
2. Khimich M.S. Analysis of the domestic feed market for non-productive animals (dogs and cats) / M.S. Khimich, I.I. Beloshitskaya // *Scientific Bulletin of Lviv National University of Veterinary Medicine and Biotechnology*. Hedgehog. - 2015. - Vol. 17, No. 1 (2). - P. 302-307.
3. Egorov B.V. Development of technology for the production of wet compound food for pets - cats / B.V. Egorov, T.V. Bordun // *Scientific works [Odessa National Academy of Food Technologies]*. - 2009. - V. 36 (1). - P. 38-43.
4. Egorov V.B. Analysis of technological lines for the production of animal feed as management objects / V. B. Egorov // *Grain Products and Mixed Fodder's*. - 2013. - No. 3 (51). - S. 47-54.
5. Khabibullin, R & Khrundin, D & Sabirzyanova, R & Ezhkova, G. (2019). Poultry by-products as a basis of combined meat and vegetable feed for domestic animals. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*. 337. 012003. 10.1088/1755-1315/337/1/012003.
6. European Union (2002). Regulation (EC) No 1774/2002 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 3 October 2002 laying down health rules concerning animal by-products not intended for human consumption. *Official Journal L 273*, p.1. 10.10.2002. *Official Journal of the European Communities L 273 Volume 45 10 October 2002*. 95 p.
7. Popenkova D.K. The dynamics of the world market of consumer goods (for example, pet food) / D.K. Popenkova // *University Herald State University of Management (Moscow)* 2013. - V. 19. - S. 195-201.
8. Herrman T and Behnke K. Feed Manufacturing - Testing mixer performance. In: *Bul. MF-1172 Revised, Kansas St. University Cooperative Extension Service, 1994, Manhattan, KS*.
9. Control of residues GMP+ BA 2 Version EN: 1 April 2019 GMP+ Feed Certification scheme
10. Buben O.R. KORMOTECH - The best food killer for homemade creatures in Ukraine / O.R. Buben, G.M. Zholinska // *International commerce on the current market: position, security, security in the context of the European Integration: Zbirnik Materials All-Ukrainian Scientific and Practical Conference (m. Lv. 16 april)*. 2019. - C.16-21.
11. Thiex N. Evaluation of the Use of Microtracers™ in a Proficiency Testing Program / N Thiex et al. // *JAOAC Int*. 2019 May 1; 102(3):767-775. doi: 10.5740/jaoacint.18-0354. Epub 2019 Jan 16.
12. Barashkov N.N. Ferromagnetic microtracers as indicators of the uniformity of feed quality for livestock and poultry farming / N.N. Barashkov, P.V. Pisarenko, V.Yu. Krikunova, T.V. Sakhno, O.A. Krikunov // *Grain Products and Mixed Fodder's*. - 2016. -- Vol.63, N I.3. - C. 34-40.
13. Sakhno T.V. The application of statistical methods of quality management by GMP + standards using ferromagnetic microtracers / T.V.Sakhno, P.V. Pisarenko, I.V.Korotkova, O. M.Omelian, N. N. Barashkov // *Grain Products and Mixed Fodder's*. - 2018. -- V.18. - N 3. - R.39-44.
14. Pisarenko P.V. The use of ferromagnetic microtracers as indicators of the quality of uniformity of feed in agriculture / P.V. Pisarenko, V.E. Krikunova, T.V. Sakhno, O.A. Krikunov, N.N. Barashkov / *Bulletin of the Kurgan State Agricultural Academy*. - 2016. - T. No. 4. - S.-50-54.
15. Djuragic O. Evaluation of homogeneity in feed by method of microtracer® / O. Djuragic, J. Levic, S.Sredanovic, L. Levic // *Archiva Zootechnica*. - 2009. - V.12(4). - P. 85-91.
16. Eisenberg S. and Eisenberg D. Particle Size and Mixing Problems for Aquatic Feeds // *Feed Manufacturing, Technology IV, AFA, 1994*. P. 498-499
17. Eisenberg D.A. MicroTracer(TM) F and their uses in assuring the quality of mixed formula feeds / D.A Eisenberg // *Adv. Feed Technol.* - 1992. - 7. - P. 78-85.
18. Eisenberg D. Mix with confidence // *International Milling Flour and Feed*. - 1994. 188. - P. 31-33.



19. Sakhno T. *The investigation of segregation of ferromagnetic microtracers from premixes: results of testing in model conditions and during of delivery and storage* /T.Sakhno, I. Korotkova, N.Barashkov // *Grain Products and Mixed Fodder's*. - 2017. - V. 17. - N 2. - P.28-33.
 20. <https://microtracers.com/product/f-tracers/>
 21. Barashkov N. *Ferromagnetic microtracers and their use in feed applications senberg* / N.Barashkov, D.Eisenberg, S.Eisenberg, J. Mohnke // XII Int. Feed Technol. Symp. Novi Sad, 2008.
 22. Bernotas D. *On the Use of Particulate Distributions for Determining Degree of Homogeneity in a Feed Mixture* – Letter and Article, April 2012. <https://microtracers.com/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/B-1-Statistical-Verification-of-Micro-Tracers-Methods-2012-4-26-12-ZE.pdf>
-